

# $D = 2$ string theory in target space/world-sheet light-cone gauge.

A.P.Zubarev \*

Steklov Mathematical Institute

Vavilov st. 42, Moscow, 117966, GSP-1, Russia

February 1, 2008

## Abstract

$D = 2$  free string in linear dilaton background is considered in so called target space/world-sheet light cone gauge  $X^+ = 0$ ,  $g_{++} = 0$ ,  $g_{+-} = 1$ . After gauge fixing the theory has the residual Virasoro and  $U(1)$  current symmetries. The physical spectrum related to  $SL_2$  invariant vacuum is found to be trivial. We find that the theory has a nontrivial spectrum if the states in different non-equivalent representations ("pictures") of CFT algebra of matter fields are considered.

It has been advocated for a long time that a string theory is a prime candidate for a unified quantum description of high energy physics. However, in present our understanding of string theory is far from being complete. Among the unsolved problems the main one is to find a non-trivial string vacuum related to real physics. The investigation of these questions is hindered by complexity of string theory. In this way it is very instructive to deal with a toy model which posses a lot of important features of conventional  $D = 26$  critical string theory but which is more simple for complete description. The string theory in two dimensional target space ( $D = 2$  string theory) is an appropriate candidate for such a toy model.

---

\*Supported in part by RFFR under grant N93-011-147

During last years the  $D = 2$  string theory was the subject of intense study. The understanding of this theory has been greatly improved by recent progress in matrix models [1]. However, it is very important to understand the results of matrix models description of the theory in the context of the usual continuous approach. It is well-known that  $D = 2$  string appears as an effective theory after quantization of 2d gravity coupled to  $c = 1$  matter by the action

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha x \partial_\beta x \quad (1)$$

In the conformal gauge  $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2\phi} \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$  the quantization of the action (1) is reduced to the quantization of the conformal factor  $\phi$  of the metric with the Liouville action [2]. Originally the problem of quantization of the action (1) was solved by Polyakov in light-cone gauge [3]. He had quantized the theory by solving the anomalous Ward identities in terms of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  Kac-Moody algebra. The quantization of the theory in the conformal gauge was obstructed by complexity of quantum measure of the Liouville field  $\phi$ . Distler and Kawai [4] were the first who appreciated that the quantum measure over  $\phi$  is not the standard translation invariant quantum field theory measure. They had proposed to replace the non-standard measure by a translation invariant one times a Jacobian factor which appears due the changing of the measure. This Jacobian was assumed to be of the form of the exponential of the original Liouville action with renormalized background charge and coupling constant. The same result was obtained independently by David [5]. Later it was shown by Mavromatos and Miramontes [6] and D'Hoker and Kurzepa [7] that conjecture of [4, 5] can be derived in a more direct way by regularization the formal expression for the Jacobian as a functional determinant of a rather singular operator using the heat-kernel method. The final expression for partition function of the theory in conformal gauge contains an integrations over  $c = 1$  matter field  $x$  and Liouville field  $\phi$  with the translation invariant and  $\phi$ -independent measure and may be considered as the conformal invariant theory in  $2d$  target space with coordinates  $X_\mu = (x, \phi)$ . Another way to obtain this result is to start from the string action in  $2d$  target space in linear dilation background

$$S(X_\mu, g_{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \sqrt{g} (g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X_\mu \partial_\beta X^\mu + Q_\mu X^\mu R) \quad (2)$$

Classically the action (2) is invariant under world-sheet reparametrization but is not invariant under Weyl rescaling because of the curvature term. However the Weyl invariance is recombined after quantization for the "critical" values of the background charge  $Q^2 = 8$ . Then the theory becomes the critical one and one must divide the path integral measure by the Weyl group volume. By choosing a conformal gauge one obtains the form of path integral partition function that was conjectured in [4, 5].

One of the important features of the model is a very interesting spectrum of the physical states. It consist of a lowest continuous excitation ("tachyon") plus an infinite series of the states with special fixed values of energy and momentum (discrete states). This fact was firstly recognized in the matrix model description [8]. The appearance of discrete states seems rather transparent if the model is described as  $D = 2$  string [9, 10]. Since we deal with a string one can expect an infinite number of higher level excitations. But since the theory is 2-dimensional gauge invariant theory one can also expect that all these states can be eliminated by a gauge transformations. However not all of states are pure gauge due to the nontrivial background. The states which can not be gauge away can be understood as remnants of transversal string excitations.

Last time the studies of discrete states have been the subject of a number of papers [11] - [18]. It was understood that these states can be treated as non-trivial cohomology classes of the corresponding BRST charge (Lian and Zuckerman [11]). The non-trivial cohomology was found with ghost numbers 0,...,3 (Bouwknegt, McCarthy and Pilch [12]) and it was discovered (Klebanov and Polyakov [13], Witten [14], Witten and Zwiebach [15]) that these states form the remarkable algebraic structure. Namely the spin zero ghost number zero discrete states generate a ground ring and the spin one ghost number one discrete states generate  $W_\infty$  current algebra, which is the algebra of the symmetry of the theory. This symmetry has been used to facilitate the calculation of tachyon amplitudes (Klebanov [16]) and has also been uncovered in matrix models [8].

It is necessary to note that the discussion around a discrete states and their role in the theory was mainly related to the conformal gauge. In the recent work of Marcus and Oz [17] the physical spectrum of the theory was studied in the Polyakov light-cone gauge. It was found that if the state space of the gravitational sector of the theory is taken to be the irreducible representations of the  $SL(2,R)$  current algebra. Then the physical spectrum of the theory is not the same as that in the conformal gauge. However the physical spectrum of the theory in light cone gauge is the same as in the theory in conformal gauge in the different Wakimoto free field representation of gravitational field.

A different light-cone gauge was used in the work of Smith [18]. He considered the theory with the action (2) by imposing the gauge conditions on target space light-cone coordinates  $X^+ = \zeta^0$  as well as on the world-sheet metric  $g_{--} = 0$ ,  $\sqrt{-g} = 1$ . As was concluded in [18] the theory contains only two-dimensional tachyon and no excited states.

From the general reasons the physical content of the theory such as the physical spectrum should be expected to be independent on the gauge choice. So it is interesting to understand the relation of the theory in the conformal gauge to the theory in other gauges.

In this work we study the  $D = 2$  string in a special gauge which we call "the target space/world-sheet light cone" gauge. We shall quantize the theory by using the path integral. As it will be shown after the gauge fixing the theory has the residual Virasoro and  $U(1)$  current symmetries. We calculated a conserved currents associated with these symmetries. It occurs that the structure of the currents is the same as was obtained in [18] for other gauge choice. The matter sector of the theory has the central charge  $c = 28$  which is canceled by the central charge of ghost system  $c^{gh} = -28$ . The corresponding BRST charge  $Q$  is nilpotent  $Q^2 = 0$ . Also we shall discuss a physical spectrum of the theory. We find that it depends on the choice of representations of states of the theory. In particular the physical space built out  $SL_2$  invariant vacuum is trivial. We find some of the physical states lying in different non-equivalent representations ("pictures") of CFT algebra of the fields.

We start with  $D = 2$  string action in a linear dilation background in the form of (2):

$$S(X, g) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2\zeta \sqrt{-g} (g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X_\mu \partial_\beta X_\nu + Q_\mu X_\nu R) \eta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (3)$$

Here the integration is performed over world-sheet with topology of sphere,  $\zeta^\alpha = (\zeta^1, \zeta^2)$  are world-sheet coordinates,  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  is a world-sheet metric of pseudoEuclidean signature,  $R$  is a Ricci scalar,  $X_\mu = (x, \phi)$  are target space coordinates,  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, +)$  is a flat target space metric and  $Q_\mu = (0, 2\sqrt{2})$  is a background charge.

The partition function of the theory

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{D}X_\mu e^{iS(x,g)} \quad (4)$$

is invariant under an arbitrary combination of the world-sheet diffeomorphisms and Weyl rescaling

$$\begin{aligned} \delta X_\mu &= \partial_\alpha X_\mu \varepsilon^\alpha - Q_\mu \varepsilon, \\ \delta g_{\alpha\beta} &= \partial_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^\gamma + g_{\alpha\gamma} \partial_\beta \varepsilon^\gamma + g_{\beta\gamma} \partial_\alpha \varepsilon^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here  $\varepsilon^\alpha$  is an infinitesimal vector field generating a diffeomorphism and  $\varepsilon$  is an infinitesimal Weyl parameter. Note that while the diffeomorphism invariance is hold on both classical and quantum levels, the Weyl transformation are only the symmetry of quantum theory.

Following the standard prescriptions we have to fix the invariance (5) by imposing an appropriate gauge conditions. We choose combined target space/world-sheet gauge conditions which are

$$X^+ = 0 \quad , \quad g_{+-} = 1 \quad , \quad g_{--} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Here  $X^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^1 \pm iX^2)$  are light-cone coordinates of target space and  $g_{+-}$ ,  $g_{--}$  are the components of the metric  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  in light-cone world-sheet coordinate basis  $\zeta^\pm = \zeta^1 \pm \zeta^2$ .

In the gauge (6) the gauge transformations (5) read

$$\begin{aligned} \delta X^- &= \partial_- X^- \varepsilon^- + \partial_+ X^- \varepsilon^+ - Q^- \varepsilon, \\ \delta X^+ &= -Q^+ \varepsilon, \\ \delta g_{++} &= (2\partial_+ + \partial_- h) \varepsilon^- + (\partial_+ h + 2h\partial_+) \varepsilon^+ + 2h\varepsilon, \\ \delta g_{+-} &= \partial_- \varepsilon^- + (\partial_+ + h\partial_-) \varepsilon^+ + 2\varepsilon, \\ \delta g_{--} &= 2\partial_- \varepsilon^- \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(here and below we use the notation  $g_{++} = h$ ).

The gauge conditions (6) do not fix the symmetry (5) completely. Indeed, the eqs. (6) remain unchanged under the transformations (7) with parameters

$$\varepsilon^+ = v(\zeta^+) \quad , \quad \varepsilon^- = u(\zeta^+) - \zeta^- \partial_+ v(\zeta^+) \quad , \quad \varepsilon = 0. \quad (8)$$

In terms of  $v$  and  $u$  the transformations of  $X^-$  and  $h$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta X^- &= \partial_- X^- u(\zeta^+) + (\partial_+ X^- - \zeta^- \partial_- X^- \partial_+) v(\zeta^+), \\ \delta h &= (2\partial_+ + \partial_- h) u(\zeta^+) + (\partial_+ h + 2h\partial_+ - 2\zeta^- \partial_+^2 - \zeta^- \partial_- h \partial_+) v(\zeta^+). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

One may easily verify that the transformations (9) are the symmetries of gauge fixed action

$$S(X^-, h) = \frac{Q^+}{8\pi} \int d^2\zeta h \partial_-^2 X^-. \quad (10)$$

The partition function (4) in the gauge (6) takes the form

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}X^- \Delta^{FP} e^{iS(X^-, h)} \quad (11)$$

where  $\Delta^{FP}$  is the Faddeev-Popov determinant which can be written in terms of path integral over ghosts  $(c^\pm, c)$  and antighosts  $(b_{++}, b_{+-}, b)$  with the ghost action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{gh} &= \int d^2\zeta \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta} b_{\alpha\gamma} \delta g_{\beta\delta} + 2b\delta X^+ \right]_{\varepsilon^\alpha=c^\alpha, \varepsilon=c, b_{--}=0} = \\ &= \int d^2\zeta [b_{++}\partial_-c^+ + b_{+-}\partial_-c^- + b_{+-}\partial_+c^+ - b_{+-}h\partial_-c^+ + 2b_{+-}c - 2Q^+bc]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The antighost  $b$  in (12) plays the role of Lagrange multiplier and integration over it simply produces a  $\delta$ -functional which constraints the value of ghost  $c$  to be zero. Then integrating over  $c$  one obtains the ghost action in the form (12) with  $b = c = 0$ . Next by changing

$$\begin{aligned} c^- &= \eta - \zeta^- \partial_+ c, \quad c^+ = c, \\ b_{+-} &= b + (h - \zeta^- \partial_+) \xi, \quad b_{+-} = \xi \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

the ghost action casts into the form

$$S_{gh} = \int d^2\zeta [b\partial_-c + \xi\partial_- \eta]. \quad (14)$$

The residual transformations for ghosts  $bc$  and  $\xi\eta$  are written by taking into account their transformation properties

$$\begin{aligned} \delta c &= (-c\partial_- + \partial_-c)v(\zeta^+), \\ \delta b &= -\partial_- \xi u(\zeta^+) + (2b\partial_- + \partial_-b)v(\zeta^+), \\ \delta \eta &= -(c\partial_- + \partial_-c)u(\zeta^+) + (\eta\partial_- + \partial_- \eta)v(\zeta^+), \\ \delta \xi &= \partial_- \xi v(\zeta^+) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and leave the ghost action (14) unchanged.

Presence of the residual symmetries implies an existence of conserved currents. These currents can be found by varying the matter and ghost actions with general  $u = u(\zeta^+, \zeta^-)$ ,  $v = v(\zeta^+, \zeta^-)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_M &= \frac{Q^+}{8\pi} \int d^2\zeta (\partial_- J u + \partial_- T v), \\ \delta S_{gh} &= \int d^2\zeta (\partial_- J^{gh} u + \partial_- T^{gh} v). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

After some calculations one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} T &= -2\partial_+ \partial_- X^- h - \partial_- X^- \partial_+ h + \partial_- h \partial_+ X^- + 2\partial_+^2 X^- \\ &\quad + (-2\partial_+^2 \partial_- X^- + \partial_+ \partial_- h \partial_- X^- + \partial_+ \partial_- X \partial_- h) \zeta^-, \\ J &= \partial_- X \partial_- h - 2\partial_+ \partial_- X, \\ T^{gh} &= \partial_+ \xi \eta - 2b\partial_+ c - \partial_+ bc, \\ J^{gh} &= c\partial_+ \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By inserting into (17) the solutions of the equations of motion for  $X^-$  and  $h$

$$\begin{aligned} X^-(\zeta) &= \varphi(\zeta^+) + \zeta^- \gamma(\zeta^+), \\ h(\zeta) &= \beta(\zeta^+) + \zeta^- \chi(\zeta^+) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \chi \partial_+ \varphi + 2\partial_+^2 \varphi - 2\partial_+ \gamma \beta - \gamma \partial_+ \beta, \\ J &= \gamma \chi - 2\partial_+ \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now let us determine a correlation functions of the theory. The simplest way to do this is to use the Ward identities associated with the residual symmetry. The transformations of the fields  $\varphi, \gamma, \beta$  and  $\chi$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \varphi &= \gamma u + \partial_+ \varphi v, \\ \delta \gamma &= (\partial_+ \gamma - \gamma \partial_+) v, \\ \delta \beta &= (2\partial_+ + \chi) u + (\partial_+ \beta + 2\beta \partial_+) v, \\ \delta \chi &= (\chi \partial_+ - 2\partial_+ + \partial_+ \chi) v. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

At this point it is convenient to make a Wick rotation  $\zeta^1 \rightarrow -i\zeta^1$  and go to complex coordinate  $z = \zeta^1 + i\zeta^2$ . The Ward identities written in terms of OPE looks like

$$\begin{aligned} T(z)\varphi(w) &\sim \frac{\partial \varphi(w)}{z-w}, & J(z)\varphi(w) &\sim \frac{\gamma(w)}{z-w}, \\ T(z)\gamma(w) &\sim \frac{-\gamma(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial \gamma(w)}{z-w}, & J(z)\gamma(w) &\sim O(1), \\ T(z)\beta(w) &\sim \frac{2\beta(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial \beta(w)}{z-w}, & J(z)\beta(w) &\sim \frac{2}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\chi(w)}{z-w}, \\ T(z)\chi(w) &\sim \frac{-4}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{\chi(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial \chi(w)}{z-w}, & J(z)\chi(w) &\sim O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

It follows from (21) and explicit form of  $T$  and  $J$  that correlators of the fields have to be

$$\langle \chi(z)\varphi(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{z-w}, \quad \langle \gamma(z)\beta(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{z-w}. \quad (22)$$

Similarly for ghost fields we find

$$\langle \xi(z)\eta(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{z-w}, \quad \langle b(z)c(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{z-w}. \quad (23)$$

The OPE's of currents are calculated by using (22) and (23):

$$\begin{aligned} T(z)T(w) &\sim \frac{14}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T(w)}{z-w}, \\ T(z)J(w) &\sim \frac{\partial J(w)}{z-w}, \\ J(z)J(w) &\sim O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for the matter system and

$$\begin{aligned} T^{gh}(z)T^{gh}(w) &\sim \frac{-14}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T^{gh}(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T^{gh}(w)}{z-w}, \\ T^{gh}(z)J^{gh}(w) &\sim \frac{\partial J^{gh}(w)}{z-w}, \\ J^{gh}(z)J^{gh}(w) &\sim O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for ghost system. The currents  $T$  and  $J$  generate an analytic Virasoro and an analytic  $U(1)$  current algebras respectively. It is interesting to note that the current algebra obtained here is the same as for alternative choice of gauge conditions used in [18].

The analytic conformal dimensions  $\Delta$  of the fields are determined by their OPE with  $T(z)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\varphi) &= 0, \quad \Delta(\chi) = 1, \quad \Delta(\gamma) = -1, \quad \Delta(\beta) = 2, \\ \Delta(\xi) &= 0, \quad \Delta(\eta) = 1, \quad \Delta(c) = -1, \quad \Delta(b) = 2, \\ \Delta(J) &= 0, \quad \Delta(T) = 2.\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

From (25) one sees that the total central charge of analytic Virasoro algebra for matter plus ghost system vanishes:

$$c^{tot.} = c^{X^-,h} + c^{gh} = 28 - 28 = 0.\tag{27}$$

The BRST charge associated with the current algebra (25) is

$$Q_{BRST} = \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} [c(T^{\varphi\chi} + T^{\gamma\beta} + T^{\xi\eta} + \frac{1}{2}T^{bc}) + \eta J](z).\tag{28}$$

By direct calculation one can show that  $Q^2 = 0$ .

Now what we are going to do is to discuss the physical spectrum of the theory. According to the standard prescription, the physical states are identified with the non-trivial cohomology of the BRST charge

$$Q|phys\rangle = 0, \quad |phys\rangle \neq Q|\lambda\rangle.\tag{29}$$

If we are restricted by the states lying only in the matter sector the constraint (29) can be written in equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned}L_n|phys\rangle &= J_n|phys\rangle = 0, \quad n > 0, \\ (L_0 - 1)|phys\rangle &= J_0|phys\rangle = 0, \\ |phys\rangle &\neq \sum_{n>0} [L_{-n}|\lambda'_n\rangle + J_{-n}|\lambda''_n\rangle],\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

where  $L_n$  and  $J_n$  are the coefficients of mode expansion of the generators  $T(z)$  and  $J(z)$ . To describe the states by CFT vertex operators  $V(z)$  the constraints (30) can be written in terms of OPE

$$\begin{aligned}T(z)V(w) &= \frac{V(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial V(w)}{z-w} + O(1), \\ J(z)V(w) &= O(z-w).\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

It is not difficult to see that there are no local operators constructed from the conformal fields and their derivatives having the OPE with  $J(z)$  of the form (31). In terms of Fock space states this means the following. The conformal  $SL_2$  invariant vacuum  $|0\rangle$  defined by

$$\phi_n|0\rangle = 0, \quad n > -\Delta(\phi)\tag{32}$$

for each field  $\phi(z)$  of dimension  $\Delta(\phi)$  is not  $U(1)$  invariant one, because it is not annihilated by  $K_0$

$$J_0|0\rangle = (\chi_{-1}\gamma_1 - 2\gamma_0)|0\rangle \neq 0.$$

It follows then that in the space with  $SL_2$ -invariant ground state there are no nontrivial states which satisfy  $J_0|phys\rangle = 0$ .

To obtain a non-trivial physical spectrum we have to consider an extended representation of CFT algebra (24). Firstly let us bosonize the  $\gamma\beta$ -system according to [19]

$$\gamma = \tilde{\eta}e^\phi, \quad \beta = e^{-\phi}\partial\tilde{\xi} \quad (33)$$

Here  $\phi$  is bosonic field such that

$$\begin{aligned} c^\phi &= 28, \\ \langle\phi(z)\phi(w)\rangle &= -\ln(z-w), \\ T^\phi &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial\phi\partial\phi - \frac{3}{2}\partial^2\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The fields  $\tilde{\xi}$  and  $\tilde{\eta}$  are the fermionic ones of dimensions 0 and 1 respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} c^{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\eta}} &= -2, \\ \langle\tilde{\xi}(z)\tilde{\eta}(w)\rangle &= \frac{1}{z-w}, \\ T^{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\eta}} &= \partial\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Secondly it is convenient to use the following representation for the fields  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$

$$\varphi = \varphi^-, \quad \chi = \partial\varphi^+, \quad (36)$$

where  $\varphi^\pm$  are a new fields with

$$\langle\varphi^-(z)\varphi^+(w)\rangle = \ln(z-w).$$

Defining

$$\varphi^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_1 \pm \varphi_2) \quad (37)$$

we get the system of bosonic fields  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  with central charges  $c^{\varphi_1} = -23$  and  $c^{\varphi_2} = 25$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\varphi_1(z)\varphi_1(w)\rangle &= -\langle\varphi_2(z)\varphi_2(w)\rangle = \ln(z-w), \\ T^{\varphi_1} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial\varphi_1\partial\varphi_1 + \sqrt{2}\partial^2\varphi_1, \\ T^{\varphi_2} &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial\varphi_2\partial\varphi_2 - \sqrt{2}\partial^2\varphi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The algebra of the fields  $\varphi_{1,2}$  is larger than the  $\varphi\chi$ -algebra because the second one does not contains zero mode of  $\varphi^+$  field.

We shall represent an arbitrary state in the form (with no  $\varphi^+$ -zero mode dependence)

$$V|0\rangle = \partial^n\varphi^+ \dots \partial^m\varphi^- \dots \partial^{k+1}\xi \dots \partial^r\phi e^{q\varphi^- + p\phi}|0\rangle \quad (39)$$

with  $n, m, k, l, r = 1, 2, \dots$  and integer  $p$ . The lowest states (with no oscillator modes) satisfying the constraints (31) are of the form

$$e^{q\varphi^- - 2\phi}$$



with arbitrary  $q$  and

$$e^{-4\varphi^- - \phi}.$$

On the next oscillator level we find five kinds of the states

$$\partial\xi e^{2\varphi^- - 3\phi}, \quad \eta e^{2\varphi^-}, \quad \eta e^{q\varphi^- - 3\phi},$$

$$\partial\varphi^- e^{q\varphi^- - 3\phi}, \quad \partial\phi e^{q\varphi^- - 2\phi}.$$

It is interesting to give a complete description of all physical states of the theory in terms of BRST cohomology. A main question is the spectrum of the theory in such gauge is the same as for the theory in the conformal gauge [12]. Let us note in this context that not all the states in the general form (39) are independent due to the existence of the picture-changing operator

$$P(z) = \{Q, \tilde{\xi}(z)\} = c\partial\tilde{\xi}(z) - \xi\partial\varphi^+ e^\phi(z) - 2\partial\xi e^\phi(z). \quad (40)$$

However, the different pictures apparently are not isomorphic because the picture-changing operator (40) does not have an inverse. It seems quite possible that after identifying some of the states the correct spectrum of  $D = 2$  string in the conformal gauge will be reproduced. We left the investigation of these questions for future.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank the Belgrade Center of Theoretical Physics for its hospitality while this paper was prepared and A.Bogoević, M.Blagojević, B.Sazdović and P.B.Medvedev for useful discussions.

## References

- [1] D.Gross and A.Migdal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **64** (1990) 127; M.Douglas and S.Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B335** (1990) 635; E.Brezin and V.Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.*, **236B** (1990) 144.
- [2] A. M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett.*, **103B** (1981) 207.
- [3] A. M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A 2** (1987) 893.
- [4] J. Distler and H. Kawai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 321** (1989) 509.
- [5] F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A 3** (1988) 1651.
- [6] N.E.Mavromatos and J.L.Miramontes, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A4** (1989) 1847.
- [7] E.D'Hoker and P.S.Kurzepa, *Mod.Phys.Lett.*, **A5** (1990) 1411; E.D'Hoker, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A6** (1991) 745.
- [8] D. Gross, I. Klebanov and M. J. Newman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B350** (1991) 621; D. Gross and I. Klebanov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 352** (1991) 671.

- [9] A. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A 6** (1991) 635
- [10] I. Ya. Arefieva and A. P. Zubarev, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 2** (1992) 677.
- [11] B. Lian and G. Zuckerman, *Phys. Lett.* **266 B** (1991) 21.
- [12] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **145** (1992) 541.
- [13] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A 6** (1991) 3273.
- [14] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 373** (1992) 187.
- [15] E. Witten and B. Zwiebach, *it Nucl. Phys.* **B377** (1992) 55.
- [16] I. Klebanov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A7** (1992) 723.
- [17] N. Marcus and Y. Oz, "*Discrete States of 2D String theory in Polyakov's Light-Cone Gauge*", preprint TAUP-1962-92.
- [18] E. Smith, "*Light-Cone Gauge for 1+1 Strings*", preprint UTTG-36-91; E. Smith, "*Trivial Spectrum of Free 1+1 Light-Cone Strings*", UTTG-17-92.
- [19] D.Friedan, E.Martinec and S.Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B271** (1986) 93.